

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/701,014	Applicant(s) MITRAPHANOUS ET AL.
	Examiner David Guzo	Art Unit 1636

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>David Guzo</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Anne-Marie C. Yvon</u> .	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>11 May 2004</u>	Time: _____
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
<i>None</i>	
Claims discussed:	
30	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<i>None</i>	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
<i>Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's amendment to claim 30 in order to obviate a potential 112, 2nd paragraph issue.</i>	
Part III.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)